| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 10/708,333 | HUANG, JUNG-TANG |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Philip H. Leung | 3742 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Exr. Philip H. Leung</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Winston Hsu. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 10 August 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | • |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: None | · | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |
| | in the second se | garant application |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested changes to the 7/24/2006 amendment to the specification at paragraphs [0049], [0050] and [0058] in order to remove any issues of new matter. The attorney agreed and authorized the Examiner to make the changes with an Examiner's Amendment (see the Examiner's Amendment for details)..